| 1          |  |  |
|------------|--|--|
| 2          |  |  |
| 3          |  |  |
| 4          |  |  |
| 5          |  |  |
| 6          |  |  |
| 7          |  |  |
| 8          | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON                                      |  |
| 9          | AT TACOMA  |  |
| 10         |  |  |
| 11         | MARTHA MAY SKOMSKI,  | CASE NO. C13-5758RJB                               |
| 12         | Plaintiff,   | ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AFFIRMING |
| 13         | v.   | DEFENDANT'S DECISION TO DENY BENEFITS              |
| 14         | CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting<br>Commissioner of Social Security,                                    | DENT BENEFITS                                      |
| 15         | Defendant.   |  |
| 16         | This matter comes before the Court on the  | Papert and Pagammandation of United States         |
| 17         | This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of United States             |  |
| 18         | Magistrate Judge John L. Weinberg. Dkt. 17. The Court has considered the Report and              |  |
| 19         | Recommendation, Objections to the Report and Re  | ecommendation, the response thereto, and the       |
| 20         | remaining record.  |  |
| 21         | The Report and Recommendation recommends affirming the Commissioner's decision to                |  |
| 22         | deny Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits under the Social Security Act. Dkt. 17. |  |
| 23         | Plaintiff has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation advancing essentially the same   |  |
| 24         | arguments that he made in her opening and reply briefs (Dkts. 11 and 15). Dkt. 18.               |  |
| <u>~</u> ¬ | ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  |  |

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AFFIRMING DEFENDANT'S DECISION TO DENY BENEFITS- 1

| 1  | The Court has reviewed the record <i>de novo</i> and concludes that the Magistrate Judge carefully |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | and accurately reviewed the record and thoroughly addressed Plaintiff's claims. The Court          |  |
| 3  | concurs with the analysis and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. The ALJ's findings are based    |  |
| 4  | on a reasonable interpretation of the record and based on substantial evidence in the record.      |  |
| 5  | The Court having reviewed the entire record, including the Administrative Record, the              |  |
| 6  | memoranda of the parties, the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge          |  |
| 7  | John L. Weinberg, the Objections thereto and the Response to the Objections, it is therefore       |  |
| 8  | ORDERED:   |  |
| 9  | The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation;  |  |
| 10 | 2. The Court <b>AFFIRMS</b> the decision of the Commissioner;                                      |  |
| 11 | 3. The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to all counsel and to Magistrate Judge              |  |
| 12 | John L. Weinberg.  |  |
| 13 | Dated this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.   |  |
| 14 | A lane   |  |
| 15 | Kaker Duyan  |  |
| 16 | ROBERT J. BRYAN<br>United States District Judge  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 | ODDED ADODEDIG DEPONE AND  |  |